أنموذج (أ) الخاص برسائل الماجستير و اطاريح الدكتوراة (اخر شهادة)

University of Baghdad				
College Name	Education College for Women			
Department	Educational Psychology			
Full Name as written in Passport	Jameelah Raheem Abed			
e-mail	Jamela222@gmali.com			
Career	୍ତି, Assistant Lecturer	ecturer	ି Assistant Professor	ି Professor
	() Master		●PhD	
Thesis Title	Differential Reinforcement of Incompatible Behavior and Low Rates in Analytical Thinking Development for Students.			
Year	2008			
az	<ul> <li>Differential Reinforcement of Incompatible Behavior and Low Rates in Analytical Thinking Development for Students</li> <li>Thinking is a mental Process With importance in man's life through which he develops himself socially. Besides, he overcomes the obstacles that stands i8n the way of his development in different aspect . thinking has great importance in teaching and educational field . It is essential for any kind of learning and ils is skills move through the study materials. Then , relationship are made between facing situation experience ( problems ) by comparing between that pace students in the other side. Ituman learning is signaled by the mental knowledge behavior which is achieved by analysis , structures , comparison, and Andover generalization. Most of the problems that face them , tales why , we need thinking excluding a plotting hypotheses information gathering and finding appropriate solutions . The present study aims at</li> <li>A – Is there any difference between the analytical thinking occurance of percentage before the use of differential reinforcement of incompatible behavior and the end of its application on each at the first-second, third , and forth member of a sample .</li> <li>B- Is there any differences between the Analytical thinking occurrence before the use differential reinforcement of Low Rates after the application stays on each at fifth , sixth , seventh and eighth member of sample .</li> <li>C- Examining which of the two ways has more effect on analytical thinking development for the tested members .</li> <li>This can be achieved through answering the following question . Are there</li> </ul>			

differences between the mean of analytical thinking degrees before applying deferential reinforcement strategy of incompatible behavior and Low Rates and after it ?
To achieve this aim , a program for analytical thinking development has been constructed to be applied on tested member . it is based on the following : Reinforcement of compatible behavior the aim behavior while performing the acceptable behavior .
*Calculus Enforcement of Differential Reinforcement of Low Rates : it decrease unacceptable behavior through enforcing . to achieve these aims, the researcher Low Rates Pouts a group of situations which go along with training meeting subjects. The adapted strategies played a vital role in carrying out the training program in each meeting ad as follows first :
Meeting topic ( assigning meeting topic which is to be considered a problem ).
<ul> <li>Second : assigning the aim of the meeting on its date .</li> <li>Third : what the meeting consists of its this includes: <ol> <li>The opening to get into the meeting subject matter in a simple language with clear phrases related to the topic in an attempt to conclude a questions asked to each member of the tested . It is to be made clear by putting it as steps on the board to develop analytical thinking it facing school situations they usually face.</li> </ol></li></ul>
<ul> <li>2 - Assigning situations and using analytical thinking step.</li> <li>3 - Applying reinforcement ways on each member of differential compatible behavior and of Low pates is as follows :</li> <li>A - compatible behavior : each tested member has lederhosen this enforcement when adapting an typical thinking instead of parroting and memorization without understanding.</li> </ul>
B – Low Rates : each tested member undergoes two enforcement when unacceptable behavior decreases the research strategy based on devoted of one person the sample is not limited to apply his study on group of persons if he would like to. Data analysis based on one – person level. It is found that research design based on one member is the most appropriate one for the present study , for the following reasons : 1 – Limited number of the sample memb .
2 – Difficulty of equality when using more than one group related to self – changes of sample members and other changes related to family and school at mospheres.
The programs includes . 18 training meeting . The programs is carried out through (4) meeting per week. Tow for each way (Sunday and Wednesday)and for nine week through which tested members are trained to use steps of analytical thinking through .
<ul> <li>2 – meeting a day , one meeting for each way . meeting lasts for (40) minutes .</li> <li>Programs application steps are set to achieve the analytical thinking development . After choosing tested members and assigning the experimental</li> </ul>

